

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,532	11/12/2003		Isamu Mouri	038788.44893D1	5399
23911	7590	09/21/2005	EXAMINER		
CROWELL		UNG LLP OPERTY GROUP		AHMED, SHAMIM	
P.O. BOX 14				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20044-4300	1765		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Cumman.	10/705,532	MOURI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shamim Ahmed	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	ovember 2003						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 38-62 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>49-62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 38-48 is/are rejected.	_						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-(u) or (i).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
·	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44k							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ΛΠ	(DTO 442)					
1) Dividice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	4) Ll Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/705,532 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1765** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 38-48, drawn to a cleaning process, classified in class 134, subclass 22.1.
- Claims 49-62, drawn to an etching process, classified in class 216, subclass 58.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operations such as the method in invention I directed to cleaning accumulated deposit in a processing apparatus, while the claims in group II are directed to etch a film on a device.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,532 Page 3

**Art Unit: 1765** 

5. During a telephone conversation with J.D. Evans on 9/15/05 a provisional election was made **with traverse** to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 38-48. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 49-62 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Specification

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: At the beginning of the specification, the continuing data needs to be updated because the parent application serial No. 09/208,022, filed December 9, 1998 is now US patent 6,673,262.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Information Disclosure Statement

8. The information disclosure statement filed 11/12/03 including the PTO 892 and PTO 1449 of the parent case serial No. 09/208,022 are merely duplicate, which are filed in the PTO-1449 in the instant application.

Therefore, the listed references in the above are crossed out.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/705,532 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

10. Claims 38 and 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Sakaguchi (JP-8-291299).

Sakaguchi teaches a cleaning process for removing deposits in an apparatus

using a cleaning gas comprises a hypofluorite including the claimed pressure and

temperature (see paragraphs 0001,0006, 0011, 0013 and 0018 of the translated version

of the patent).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of

the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 1765

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

13. Claims 39-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakaguchi (JP-8-291299) in view of Barton et al (4,036,864). Sakaguchi (JP-8-291299) discusses above in the paragraph 10 but fail to teach the hypofluorite compound having at least one OF group in the molecule.

However, Barton et al teach a class of hypofluorite such as trifluoromethyl hypofluorite (CF<sub>3</sub>OF) in addition of inert gas with the advantage of good selectivity, easy handling and with low process temperature (col.3, lines 47-65 and col.4, lines 21-29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to modify Sakaguchi's hypofluorite compound with the Barton et al's compound with the advantage of performing the fluorination reaction with good selectivity, easy handling and with low temperature as taught by Barton et al.

As to claims 41 and 44, Sakaguchi teaches the cleaning gas also comprises inert gas and oxygen (paragraph 0009)

### Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Middleton et al (4,568,478) teaches fluoroxy compound such as acyl hypofluorite having general formula of X (CF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>COOF, which advantageously used in fluorination reaction with longer self-life and easy handling (col.2, lines 30-40 and col.3, lines 3-18).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA September 18, 2005